

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILINO	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,852	06/05/2006		Norihiro Asada	NIWA	4581 <sup>-</sup>	
James C Wray	7590	09/17/2007		EXAM	INER	
Suite 300				PATEL, REEMA		
1493 Chain Bridge Road McLean, VA 22101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2812		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				09/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,852	ASADA, NORIHIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reema Patel	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	•	
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.	• •	s
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex </li> </ul>	l accepted or b)⊠ object drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/06</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) was submitted on 9/12/06. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

### Drawings -

3. Figures 6-7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2812

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takayama et al. (U.S. 5,188,702) in view of Nakamura et al. (JP 2003-121468) and Kovacs et al. ("Bulk Micromachining of Silicon").
- 6. Takayama et al. discloses the insertion of a plurality of electrodes into a soft plastic sheet (col 2, lines 17-57). Yet, the electrodes are metal bumps (col 2, lines 49-57; Fig. 1) rather than silicon springs. However, Nakamura et al. discloses the use of spring electrodes, each spring electrode comprising a monocrystalline silicon spring and conductive layer coating covering the surface of the spring (Abstract, [0029]). The advantage of using spring electrodes is the ability to maintain a more stable electrical conduction when testing devices due to its flexible nature. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify the invention of Takayama et al. with using silicon spring electrodes, as taught by Nakamura et al., so as to maintain a more stable electrical conduction.
- 7. Yet, Takayama et al. in view of Nakamura et al. does not disclose the method of forming the silicon spring. However, Kovacs et al. discloses forming a silicon leaf spring by deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) through a monocrystalline silicon wafer. The advantage of using DRIE is that it is a safer etch method as compared to wet etching as DRIE does not require the use of harsh chemical etchants (Table 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Takayama and Nakamura with forming the silicon spring by deep reactive ion etching through a silicon wafer so as to form the spring without the use of harsh chemical etchants.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,852

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Reema Patel whose telephone number is 571-270-

1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RSP 9/12/07 SCOTT B. GEYER PRIMARY EXAMINER

2 / 9/12/07